UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

DIRECTOR, FBI (100-106670) DATE: 8/23/66

SAC, CHICAGO (100-35356)

MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.
SM - C

ReBulet dated 3/24/66, and Atlanta letter to Bureau and Chicago dated 3/29/66, both having reference to [redacted] activities on the part of the subject.

[redacted] who has furnished reliable information in the past, advised recently as follows:

[redacted]

Source later advised as follows:

[redacted]

2 - Bureau (RM)
2 - Atlanta (100-5586) (RM)
1 - Chicago
JCS:jmd/mam
(5)

#143

Payroll Savings Plan

[redacted]

The source for the above information learned this in the course of personal contact with [redacted]

Continuing with the above, on 7/19/63 [redacted] provided the following information:

[redacted] This source could only describe [redacted] as known to be a good friend of KING whom she first met in 1960 or 1961. [redacted] is believed to be an avid integrationist and very active in civil rights matters.

Chicago indices fail to reflect any additional pertinent data concerning [redacted]

Regarding [redacted] reference is made to Chicago report of SA [redacted] 3/4/53, under the caption "[redacted]", Chicago file 116-38978; reference also Bureau letter dated 12/13/62 under the caption "[redacted], Chicago, Illinois, SOC." This Bureau letter contained a copy of form SF-85 completed by [redacted] and requested Chicago to submit in LHM form information concerning [redacted]

[redacted]

During October, 1951, a robbery was committed at the Cragin Savings and Loan Association in Chicago during the course of which one of the participants was slain by the Chicago Police Department. He had in his pocket a cleaning ticket with a notation [redacted] Subsequent investigation [redacted] developed that [redacted] was in fact [redacted] and she was subsequently interviewed relative to the bank robbery in October, 1951. During the interview, she acknowledged [redacted] She had met the individual involved in the bank robbery in a tavern a short time prior to the robbery and had given him the name she was then using [redacted]

[redacted]

There is no additional pertinent information concerning [redacted] available in Chicago indices.

The above is being furnished the Bureau and Atlanta for information only.

Chicago at this time does not feel this information is of the type which could be effectively used in a counter-intelligence fashion and, because of the nature and relationship of these individuals involved with subject, no recommendations are being made that they be interviewed. It should be borne in mind also that the information concerning [redacted] was learned by the source in personal contact with her.

Chicago will remain alert for any additional information and Bureau will be promptly advised of same.